



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/510,416 | 10/06/2004 | Kazuo Tsutsumi | 19036/40136 | 8520 |
| 4743 | 7590 | 08/03/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | LEE, CYNTHIA K | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1745 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/510,416 | TSUTSUMI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Cynthia Lee | 1745 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 15-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 15-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/17/2006</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the communication filed on 6/16/2006. Claims 1, 2, 4-13, and 15-19 are pending. Claims 1, 12, and 13 have been amended. Applicant's arguments have been considered and are persuasive. However, upon further consideration, the instant claims are rejected under new grounds of rejections and thus, claims 1, 2, 4-13, and 15-19 are finally rejected for reasons of record and for reasons necessitated by applicant's amendment.

Claims Analysis

The limitation "adapted to" has been considered but was not given patentable weight because it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi et. al (WO00/59062) in view of Dansui (US 6033805), Ikoma (US 5700596), and Sato (US 2002/0069514). Tsutsumi et. al. (US 6,689,507 B1) is used as an English translation of Tsutsumi et. al.

Art Unit: 1745

(WO00/59062). Therefore, all claim numbers referred to Tsutsumi et. al.

(WO00/59062) in this office action are found in Tsutsumi et. al. (US 6689507 B1).

With respect to claim 1, Tsutsumi (US 6689507 B1) discloses a battery comprising two vessels connected to each other with a member interposed therebetween (col. 52, lines 51-53) and filled with electrolytic solutions (col. 52 line 55), the member being configured to permit passage of an ion (col. 52 line 52), active material particles (col. 52 line 53) which include a high electron-conductive material and/or have a coating of a high electron-conductive material on the surface, filled in the electrolytic solution within one of the vessels and adapted to discharge the electrons (col. 52 lines 54-55), filled in the electrolytic solution within the other vessel and adapted to absorb the electrons (col. 52 line 56-57), wherein electrically conductive current collectors are provided in contact with the active material particles within the two vessels (col. 52, lines 58-59).

Tsutsumi further discloses that the active material particles are in contact with adjacent active material particles such that electrons move to the current collector even when the electrons discharged within the active material particles are distant from the current collector (20:1-15).

With respect to claim 4, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein the current collectors in contact with the active material particles have a shape of any one of a rod, a plate, and a pipe (col. 53 lines 1-3).

With respect to claim 5, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein a heat transfer surface is installed within the vessels to keep a reaction temperature within the battery constant (col. 53 lines 10-12).

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claim 6, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein the heat transfer surface is either a pipe-shaped current collector or a plate-shaped current collector which is in contact with the active material particles (col. 53 lines 13-15).

With respect to claim 7, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein a discharge means for discharging the degraded active material particles from the vessel and a feed means for feeding the active material particles to the vessel are respectively connected to the vessels (col. 53 lines 17-20).

With respect to claim 8, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein at least one of a recovery means for recovering the discharged active material particles and a makeup means for making up the active material particles is connected to the discharge means to allow recovered or newly replaced active material particles to be fed from the feed means to inside of the vessels (col. 53 lines 21-26).

With respect to claim 9, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein a reaction means that converts the discharged active material particles into charged active material particles through a thermal chemical reaction or an electrochemical reaction is connected to the discharge means to allow the charged active material particles to be fed from the feed means to inside of the vessels (col. 53 lines 27-31).

With respect to claim 10, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein active material particles on an anode side are hydrogen-occluding alloy particles and active material particles on a cathode side are nickel hydroxide particles (col. 53

Art Unit: 1745

lines 32-35). Further, a gas injected to the anode side is hydrogen and a gas injected to the cathode side is oxygen or air (col. 53, lines 35-43).

With respect to claim 11, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein active material particles on an anode side are hydrogen-occluding alloy particles (col. 53 lines 37-38), a gas injected to the anode side is hydrogen (col. 53 line 39), active material particles on a cathode side are nickel hydroxide particles (col. 53 lines 40-41), and a gas injected to the cathode side is oxygen or air (col. 53 lines 41-43).

With respect to claim 12, Tsutsumi discloses a battery as set forth above in claim 1. Further, Tsutsumi discloses a layered three-dimensional battery comprising plural sets of unit batteries (col. 53 lines 44-46) and current collecting members configured to serve as separating walls that define the cells (col. 53 lines 53-56), the unit batteries being connected in series to one another with each of the electrically conductive current collecting members interposed between the unit batteries (col. 53 lines 53-55), and current collectors provided on the cells at both ends of the unit batteries in contact with the active material particles so as to serve as a cathode electrode and an anode electrode, respectively (col. 53 lines 56-59).

With respect to claim 15, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein an electrically conductive stud is provided integrally and protrusively from the current collecting member or the current collector toward an inside of the cell (col. 53 lines 60-62).

With respect to claim 1 and 12, Tsutsumi does not disclose a battery with active particles with include a high electron-conductive material and/or having a coating of a high electron-conductive material on the surface. Furthermore, Tsutsumi does not disclose a battery in which the active material particles form a fixed layer within the vessels.

Dansui discloses a nickel-hydrogen battery with an added thin film of nickel hydroxide solution powder formed on the surface of the nickel foil (col. 11, line 67) to enhance capacity density (abstract line 4).

Ikoma discloses a nickel-hydrogen battery with electrode particles shaped to enhance packing and energy density and cycle life (abstract lines 1-5). It inherently forms fixed layers of active material particles.

The inventive concept of designing a battery by forming an active material particle with high electron-conductive material or coating it with high electron-conductive material on the surface is obvious in view of Dansui.

The motivation for doing so would be to enhance the capacity density of an electrode, as taught by Dansui (abstract lines 2-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tsutsumi with Dansui for the benefit of designing active material particles with high electron-conductive material for enhanced capacity density. The thin film enhances conductivity of the electrode, thereby enhancing the capacity of the battery. Therefore, the thin film of nickel hydroxide meets the claim limitation of "high electron-conductive material."

The inventive concept of forming active material particles in a fixed layer is known in the art, as taught by Ikoma.

The motivation for doing so would have been for the benefit of enhanced packing and energy density, and cycle life, as taught by Ikoma (abstract lines 3-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Tsutsumi and Dansui with Ikoma for the benefit of designing active material particles with high electron-conductive material to form a fixed layer.

Tsutsumi, Dansui, and Ikoma do not disclose a granulation agent to the first and second active material particles (applicant's claims 1, 12 and 13). However, Sato teaches of adding a binder (applicant's granulation agent) to the active material particles [0021]. The Examiner is interpreting that the limitation "granulation agent" to have been met by a binder, as disclosed in the instant specification on pg. 6 par. (2). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a binder to Tsutsumi, Dansui, and Ikoma's battery for the benefit of binding the active material particles together. Binding the active material particles would keep the particles fixed in their respective compartments and result in a more sturdy battery.

The Examiner notes that the active material particles would necessarily be filled in a "static state wherein the fixed layer is closely packed so as to form a

Art Unit: 1745

close assembly and have the mixture disposed in granulated particles gaps to increase a density" of the first and second material particles.

Claims 2, 13, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi et. al (WO00/59062) in view of Dansui (US 6033805) Ikoma (US 5700596) and Sato (US 2002/00069514) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Katsumoto (US 6114063).

With respect to claim 2, Tsutsumi, Dansui, and Ikoma disclose the battery of claim 1 as set forth above, incorporated herein.

With respect to claim 13, Tsutsumi, Dansui, and Ikoma disclose a layered three-dimensional battery as referred to in claim 12 above, incorporated herein. Tsutsumi further discloses that the active material particles are in contact with adjacent active material particles such that electrons move to the current collector even when the electrons discharged within the active material particles are distant from the current collector (20:1-15).

With respect to claim 16, Tsutsumi discloses heat transmitters within the two vessels to keep reaction temperature in the battery constant (col. 53, lines 10-12).

With respect to claim 17, Tsutsumi discloses means for discharging degraded powdered active materials out of the two vessels and means for supplying the powdered active materials into the vessels are connected to the vessels (col. 53, lines 17-20).

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claims 18 and 19, Tsutsumi discloses a battery wherein active material particles on an anode side are hydrogen-occluding alloy particles and active material particles on a cathode side are nickel hydroxide particles (col. 53 lines 32-35). Further, a gas injected to the anode side is hydrogen and a gas injected to the cathode side is oxygen or air (col. 53, lines 35-43).

Tsutsumi, Dansui, and Ikoma do not disclose a battery with a porous active material in claims 2 and 13.

Katsumoto discloses a nickel battery with a porous active material (abstract lines 1-3).

The inventive concept of forming active materials particles comprising a porous body is known in prior art, as taught by Katsumoto.

The motivation for doing so would be to increase conductivity of the active materials (col.1 lines 33-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Tsutsumi, Dansui, and Ikoma with Katsumoto's for the benefit of designing a battery with active material particles that are porous to increase the conductivity thereof.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.**

Art Unit: 1745

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ckl

Cynthia Lee

Patent Examiner


JONATHAN CREPEAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER